International Conference on Economics, Entrepreneurship and Management 2019 (ICEEM2019)

Langkawi July 6, 2019

CRITICAL SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS HOMESTAY PROGRAMME: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Muhamad Azhari Wahid¹, and Khairul Anuar Rusli²

¹Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia

²Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman
E-mail (azhariwahid@usim.edu.my)

1. Introduction

Tourism industry is the second largest contributor to the national income after manufacturing sector. This is evidenced by the number of tourists coming to Malaysia that reached 25.9 million people in 2017 (Tourism Malaysia, 2018). In order to increase the number of tourists in the future, Malaysia has planned several initiatives such as medical tourism, sports, and rural tourism.

In the case of rural tourism, an initiative under the banner of homestay has been introduced since 1995. Homestay is a community-based tourism whereby the community in rural areas manage the homestay program themselves. The program offers the beauty of rural area, its flora and fauna, and lifestyle and traditions of rural people to the tourist (Mapjabil et al., 2011). The uniqueness of the program is that the tourist is given chances to stay together in the host's house (Aminudin & A. Jamal, 2006).

Besides attracting tourist to experience the life and tradition of Malaysian people, the objective of homestay is to increase the quality of life especially in term of economy, social, and environment (Che Lah & Hamzah, 2012). Despite the successful of the homestay, it is observed that numbers of homestay are facing challenges and difficulties in achieving the objective of its establishment. Hence, understanding the critical sustainability factors of homestay is important so that proper measures could be taken to help the homestay operators maintain its business.

A systematic review is an examination of a clearly formulated question that uses systematic and explicit methods to identify, select and critically appraise relevant research and to collect and analyse data from studies that are included in the review. Statistical methods may or may not be used to analyze and summarize the results of the included studies (Higgins et al., 2011). Via a systematic review, authors' claims of rigor in their research can be justified, allowing for the identification of gaps and needed directions for future research.

Despite abundance of studies on sustainability factors of homestay rural tourism, effort to systematically review these studies are still lacking. This article attempts to fill the gap in understanding, identifies and characterizes sustainability factors of homestay among Malaysia's homestay entrepreneur.

2. Materials And Methods

In this section, the method used to retrieve articles related to sustainability factors of Malaysia's homestay is discussed. The reviewer used the method called PRISMA, which includes resources (Scopus and Google Scholar) used to run the systematic review, eligibility and exclusion

criteria, steps of the review process (identification, screening, eligibility) and data abstraction and analysis.

The review was guided by the PRISMA Statement (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis). According to Sierra-Correa and Cantera Kintz (2015), it offers three unique advantages which are 1) defining clear research questions that permits a systematic research, 2) it identifies inclusion and exclusion criteria, and 3) it attempts to examine large database of scientific literature in a defined time.

The review relied on two main journal databases – Scopus and GoogleScholar. Scopus is one of the largest abstract and citation databases of peer-reviewed literature with >22,800 journals from 5000 publishers worldwide. Scopus consists of diverse subject areas such as environmental sciences, social sciences, and agriculture and biological sciences. Whereby, Google Scholar is a freely accessible web search engine that indexes the full text or metadata of scholarly literature across an array of publishing formats and disciplines.

The review process was performed on April 2019. There are three (3) stages involved in systematic review process. Those stages are identification, screening, and eligibility.

3.1 Identification

The first stage identified keywords used for the search process. Relying on previous studies and thesaurus, keywords similar and related to homestay, rural tourism, and sustainability were used (Table 1). At this stage, after careful screening, two duplicated articles were removed.

Table 1. Keywords and searching information strategy.

Databases	Keywords used
Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY ((homestay OR "rural
Google Scholar	tourism") AND (sustainability OR competitive*) AND (malaysia)) allintitle: (homestay OR "rural tourism") AND (sustainability OR competitive OR
Google Scholar	competitiveness) AND (Malaysia)

3.2 Screening

Several eligibility and exclusion criterion are determined as tabulated in Table 2. First, with regard to literature type, only article journals are selected which means book series, book, chapter in book and conference proceeding are all excluded. Second, in order to avoid any confusion and difficulty in translating, the searching efforts excluded the non-English publication and focused only on articles published in English. Thirdly, regarding timeline, a period of nine (9) years are selected (between 2011 and 2019) as this is the only period where article journal being published based on the keyword. Lastly, in line with its objective which focuses on Malaysia, only articles focused in Malaysian territories are selected.

Table 2. The inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Criterion	Eligibility	Exclusion
Literature type	Journal (research articles)	Journals (systematic review), book series,
		book, chapter in book, conference proceeding
Language	English	Non-english
Time line	Between 2011-2019	<2011
Countries and territories	Malaysia	Other than Malaysia

3. Results And Discussion

Regarding years published, one article was published in each year 2019, 2012, and 2011, two articles were published in 2018, five articles were published in 2017, six articles were published in

2016, three articles were publised in 2015 and 2014 respectively, and two articles were published in 2013.

The review resulted in five main themes and 16 sub-themes related to critical sustainability factors of homestay rural tourism. The five main themes are quality (two sub-themes), uniqueness (five sub-themes), self-efficacy (three sub-themes), management (five sub-themes), and monetary (two sub-themes). Details of the result is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. The inclusion and exclusion criteria.

	Qua	Quality			iquer				f-effic			Manag	Monetary			
Authors	1a	1b	2a	2b	2c	2d	2e	3a	3b	3c	4a	4b	4c	4d	5a	5b
(M. C. Lo, Chin, & Law, 2019)	V	1	V	V				V								
(Ibrahim, Shuib, Ramachandran, & Afandi, 2018)								$\sqrt{}$	V	V					1	$\sqrt{}$
(Mohamad, Nurul, Wan, & Omar, 2018)																V
(Fong, Lo, Songan, & Nair, 2017)		V						V								
(Lim, Lo, Mohamad, Chin, &								1								
Ramayah, 2017) (C. H. Chin, Thian, & Lo, 2017)								V			V	V				
(M. C. Lo, Mohamad, Chin, & Ramayah, 2017)				V	1	V	$\sqrt{}$									
(Kunjuraman & Hussin, 2017)	V	V						V	V		V		V	V	1	
(Kalsom Kayat & Nur Farrah Ain Zainuddin, 2016)	V	V						V		V	V	V		V		
(CH. Chin, Lo, Nair, & Songan, 2016)		V			V			V								
(Mohamed & Aminudin, 2016)								V								V
(Falak, Chiun, & Wee, 2016)								V			V					
(Law & Lo, 2016)		√	V					,								
(Kasim, Kayat, Ramli, & Ramli, 2016)								√			V					
(Nair, V and Hamzah, 2015) (Mura & Sharif,	√	√						√								
2015)																

(Amin &							$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$			$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$			
Ibrahim, 2015)							$\Delta \underline{}$									
(Ebrahimi &						$\sqrt{}$		$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$							
Khalifah, 2014)																
(MC. Lo,					$\sqrt{}$											
Ramayah, &																
Hui Hui, 2014)																
(Ahmad,																
Jabeen, & Khan,																
2014)																
(Ponnan, 2013)		$\overline{}$			V											
(Jaafar, Kayat,																
Tangit, &																
Yacob, 2013)																
(Bhuiyan,																
Siwar, Ismail, &																
Islam, 2012)																
(Ling, Abidin,	V				1										V	
Nair,																
Ramachandran,																
& Shuib, 2011)																
Total	7	8	2	2	6	5	2	16	3	2	7	2	2	2	6	6

Details: 1a. Quality of accommodation, 1b. Quality of infrastructure, 2a. Range of activities, 2b. Special events, 2c. Nature/natural resources, 2d. Culture, 2e. Ethnics, 3a. Community support, 3b. Formal education, 3c. Entrepreneurial skill, 4a. Good leader, 4b. Strategic planning, 4c. Monitoring, 4d. Promotional, 5a. Capital invested, 5b. Income generated.

Based on thematic review from previous studies, it is found that there are at least eight themes which can be considered as critical sustainability factors for homestay programme. Those are community support (highlighted in 16 studies), quality of infrastucture (highlighted in eight studies), quality of accommodation (highlighted in seven studies), good leader (highlighted in seven studies), nature/natural resources (highlighted in six studies), capital invested (highlighted in six studies), income generated (highlighted in six studies), and culture (highlighted in five studies).

Conclusion

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a systematic literature review on sustainability factors of homestay among Malaysia's homestay entrepreneur. To achieve the objective of this study, we used the method called PRISMA, which includes reviewing of articles from resources (Scopus and Google Scholar).

The results revealed that community support, quality of infrastructure and accommodation, good leader, nature/natural resources, capital invested, income generated, and culture are critical sustainability factors for homestay programme. Understanding on these critical sustainability factors are important not only to the homestay entrepreneur, but also relevant government agencies.

Future research on this area could address the establish relationship among critical sustainability factors. In addition, a field study can be conducted to test the usefulness of these critical sustainability factors for homestay.

References

Ahmad, S. Z., Jabeen, F., & Khan, M. (2014). Entrepreneurs choice in business venture: Motivations for choosing home-stay accommodation businesses in Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *36*, 31–40.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.08.006
- Amin, A., & Ibrahim, Y. (2015). Sustainable community participation in homestay program. *International Business Management*, 6(3), 18–23. https://doi.org/10.3923/ibm.2016.18.23
- Aminudin, N. dan A Jamal, S. (2006) Homestay Selangor: Keunikan dan pengalaman pengusaha. Pusat Penerbit Universiti, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam
- Bhuiyan, M. A. H., Siwar, C., Ismail, S. M., & Islam, R. (2012). Home stay accommodation for tourism development in east coast economic region. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 9(7), 1085–1090.
- Che Leh, F., Hamzah M. R. (2012). "Homestay tourism and pro-poor tourism strategy in Banghuris Selangor, Malaysia". Elixir Geoscience, 45, p. 7602 7610.
- Chin, C.-H., Lo, M.-C., Nair, V., & Songan, P. (2016). Examining the Effects of Environmental Components on Tourism Destination Competitiveness: the Moderating. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 21(1), 75–104. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=13942603&AN=120624277&h=Wy9z7ZQEwCHh3jp32Ihch1Ykaru3dKRsUXyxn Cur%2BHmakNzDp7DrRA06%2BVptGtHRr%2FldmSTBxS5SjYQsEXrcdw%3D%3D&crl=
- Chin, C. H., Thian, S. S. Z., & Lo, M. C. (2017). Community's experiential knowledge on the development of rural tourism competitive advantage: a study on Kampung Semadang Borneo Heights, Sarawak. *Tourism Review*, 72(2), 238–260. https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-12-2016-0056
- Ebrahimi, S., & Khalifah, Z. (2014). Community supporting attitude toward community-based tourism development; non-participants perspective. *Asian Social Science*, *10*(17), 29–35. https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n17p29
- Falak, S., Chiun, L. M., & Wee, A. Y. (2016). Sustainable rural tourism: An indigenous community perspective on positioning rural tourism. *Tourism*, 64(3), 311–327.
- Fong, S. F., Lo, M. C., Songan, P., & Nair, V. (2017). Self-efficacy and sustainable rural tourism development: local communities' perspectives from Kuching, Sarawak. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 22(2), 147–159. https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2016.1208668
- Higgins, J.P.T.. (2011). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Cochrane Collaboration.
- Ibrahim, M. R., Shuib, A., Ramachandran, S., & Afandi, S. H. M. (2018). Community participation in tourism microenterprises among Amanah Ikhtiar Malaysia borrowers in Semporna, Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 19(S1), 88–102.
- Jaafar, M., Kayat, K., Tangit, T. M., & Yacob, M. F. (2013). Nature-based rural tourism and its economic benefits: A case study of Kinabalu National Park. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, *5*(4), 342–352. https://doi.org/10.1108/WHATT-03-2013-0016
- Kalsom Kayat, & Nur Farrah Ain Zainuddin. (2016). Community-based tourism initiative in rural Malaysia: Is it a success? *International Review of Management and MarketingInternational Soft Science Conference*, 6(S7), 242–249. Retrieved from http://s5Cnwww.econjournals.com
- Kasim, M. M., Kayat, K., Ramli, R., & Ramli, R. (2016). Sustainability Criteria for the Malaysia Homestay Programme. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6, 1–7.
- Kunjuraman, V., & Hussin, R. (2017). Challenges of community-based homestay programme in Sabah, Malaysia: Hopeful or hopeless? *Tourism Management Perspectives*, *21*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2016.10.007
- Law, F. Y., & Lo, M.-C. (2016). Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness of Kubah National Park in Sarawak: Tourists' Perspective. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 21(Suppl. 1), 127–148. https://doi.org/10.21315/aamj2016.supp.1.6
- Lim, J., Lo, M.-C., Mohamad, A. A., Chin, C.-H., & Ramayah, T. (2017). The Moderating Impact of Community Support on Tri-Dimensional Impacts of Tourism (Economic, Socio-Cultural, &

- Environmental) Towards Rural Tourism Competitive Advantage. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 18(4), 869–880.
- Ling, S. M., Abidin, Z. Z., Nair, V., Ramachandran, S., & Shuib, A. (2011). Developing criteria and indicators for responsible rural tourism in Taman Negara National Park (TNNP), Malaysia. *Malaysian Forester*, 74(2), 143–156.
- Lo, M.-C., Ramayah, T., & Hui Hui, H. L. (2014). Rural Communities Perceptions and Attitudes towards Environment Tourism Development. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 7(4), 84–94. https://doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v7n4p84
- Lo, M. C., Chin, C. H., & Law, F. Y. (2019). Tourists' perspectives on hard and soft services toward rural tourism destination competitiveness: Community support as a moderator. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 19(2), 139–157. https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358417715677
- Lo, M. C., Mohamad, A. A., Chin, C. H., & Ramayah, T. (2017). The impact of natural resources, cultural heritage, and special events on tourism destination competitiveness: The moderating role of community support. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 18(S4), 763–774.
- Mapjabil, J., Mohd Rosdi, S. A., Shuib, M., Abdullah, S. (2011), Pembangunan program homestay di wilayah utara semenanjung Malaysia: Profil, produk dan prospek", Malaysia Journal of Society and Space, 7 (2), p. 45 54.
- Mohamad, Z., Nurul, W., Wan, A., & Omar, K. (2018). Relationship Between Motivation And Business Sustainability: A Study On Homestay Entrepreneurs In Malaysia. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, *4*(12), 761–768. https://doi.org/10.32861/jssr.412.761.768
- Mohamed, R., & Aminudin, N. (2016). Understanding Homestay Sustainability Through Successor Motivational Factors. In *Regional Conference on Science, Technology and Social Sciences (RCSTSS 2014)* (pp. 1075–1083). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0534-3
- Mura, P., & Sharif, S. P. (2015). Exploring rural tourism and sustainability in Southeast Asia through the lenses of official tourism websites: A benchmarking exercise. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 7(5), 440–452. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216
- Nair, V and Hamzah, A. (2015). Successful community-based tourism approach for rural destinations: The Asia Pacific experience. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 7(5), 429–439. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/VINE-10-2013-0063
- Ponnan, R. (2013). Broadcasting and socially responsible rural tourism in Labuan, Malaysia. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, *5*(4), 398–411. https://doi.org/10.1108/WHATT-03-2013-0019
- Sierra-Correa, P. C., & Cantera Kintz, J. R. (2015). Ecosystem-based adaptation for improving coastal planning for sea-level rise: A systematic review for mangrove coasts. Marine Policy, 51, 385-393.